

# Numerical Solution of One Nonlocal Mixed Problem

G.Mehdiyeva, A.Aliyev

**Abstract**—In this paper a mixed problem with nonlocal boundary conditions on a rectangular domain is considered. Error is estimated effectively, i.e. only the known problem data participate in this estimate. By applying the net method error estimates of approximate solution of the Laplace equation usually contain maximums of modulus of derivatives of the desired solution. And this naturally makes difficult to use estimates in practice. Error estimates of some methods expressed by basic problem data are known in the references. So Wazov [2] has estimated error of the Fourier discrete method for the Dirichlet problem of the Laplace equation by the paper [1]. As well E.A. Volkov as distinct from these papers applying the Gershgorin majorant method, summability method on layers has estimated error also only with the known data.

**Keywords**—Nonlocal, mixed, numerical, difference scheme, rectangular

## I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper first for nonlocal mixed problem, error of the Fourier discrete method is estimated effectively, i.e. error is estimated with the help of the known data.

The following nonlocal mixed problem is considered. It is required to find a solution of the equation

$$\Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \Pi, \tag{1}$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2, \Gamma_4, \tag{2}$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_3$$

$$u(x, c) = \alpha u(x, c) + f(x), \quad (0 < x < 1) \tag{4}$$

where it is assumed that  $f(x)$  is thrice continuously differentiable and  $f'(0) = f'(1) = 0$ .

Here  $\Pi = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < b\}$ , and  $\Gamma_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, 4}$ ) are the sides of the rectangular  $\Pi$  numbered counterclockwise starting with lower-side except for the ends. Introduce the following notation:

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Gamma_i, \quad \overline{\Pi} = \Pi \cup \Gamma$$

Introduce quadratic net by straight lines  $x = x_i = ih, y = y_j = jh$  ( $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ). Denote

$$\Pi_h = \{(x, y) : x = x_i = ih, y = y_j = jh, i, j = \overline{0, n}\}, \Gamma_{ih} \ (i = \overline{1, 4})$$

G.Yu.Mehdiyeva - doctor of science, PhD, professor, head of chair of Computational mathematics of Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan (corresponding author to provide phone 994125106048 e-mail: imm\_bsu@mail.ru)

A.Aliyev - PhD, assos.prof. of the department of Computational mathematics of Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan (e-mail: aydin\_aliyev66@yahoo.com)

This work was supported by the Science Development Foundation of Azerbaijan (Grand EIF-2011-1(3)).

is a set of net nodes lying on  $\Gamma_i$  and  $\Gamma_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Gamma_{ih}$  respectively, as well as  $\overline{\Pi}_h = \Pi_h \cup \Gamma_h$ .

Let's construct the difference scheme of corresponding problem (1) – (4) in the following form:

$$\Delta_h u_h = 0 \text{ on } \overline{\Pi}_h \tag{5}$$

$$-\frac{2}{h} u_{\bar{x}} + u_{\bar{y}y} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{2h}, \quad \frac{2}{h} u_{\bar{x}} + u_{\bar{y}y} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{4h} \tag{6}$$

$$u_h = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{3h}, \tag{7}$$

$$u_h(x, 0) = \alpha u_h(x, c) + f_h, \quad (\alpha < 1) \tag{8}$$

Here it is assumed that the point  $C$  coincides with one of nodes.

We prove that the solutions of problem (1) – (4) and (5) – (8) are defined by the following formulae respectively

$$u(x, y) = -\frac{a_0}{2[(1-\alpha)b + ac]}(y-b) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n g(y, n\pi) \cos n\pi x, \tag{9}$$

$$u_h(x, y) = -\frac{b_0}{2[(1-\alpha)b + ac]}(y-b) + \sum_{n=1}^{1/h} b_n g(y, \frac{\beta_n}{h}) \cos n\pi x, \tag{10}$$

$$a_n = 2 \int_0^1 f(t) \cos n\pi t dt, \quad a_0 = \int_0^1 f(t) dt,$$

$$b_n = 2h \sum_{k=1}^{1/h} f_h(kh) \cos n\pi kh, \quad b_0 = h \sum_{k=1}^{1/h} f_h(kh),$$

$$g(y, z) = \frac{\text{sh}z(b-y)}{\text{sh}zb - \alpha \text{sh}z(b-c)}, \tag{11}$$

$$\text{sh} \frac{\beta_n}{2} = \sin \frac{n h \pi}{2}.$$

At first we prove formula (9). We'll search a solution in the form

$$u(x, y) = X(x) \cdot Y(y).$$

Then

$$X'' - kX = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$Y'' + kY = 0, \tag{13}$$

where  $k$  is some constant.

In order the function  $u(x, y)$  satisfy boundary conditions (2) the function  $X(x)$  must satisfy the conditions

$$X'(0) = X'(1) = 0 \tag{14}$$

We must find a solution of equation (12) satisfying condition (14). If assume  $k = -\lambda^2$ , then the general solution of equation (12) will be

$$X(x) = C_1 \cos \lambda x + C_2 \sin \lambda x.$$

Condition (14) gives

$$X'(0) = \lambda C_2 = 0,$$

$$X'(1) = -\lambda C_1 \sin \lambda + \lambda C_2 \cos \lambda = 0.$$

Consequently,  $C_2 = 0$  certainly and we can take  $C_1$  being equal to zero only provided

$$\sin \lambda = 0,$$

i.e. if  $\lambda$  is a number divisible by  $\pi$  :

$$\lambda = n\pi \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

At  $\lambda = n\pi$  we obtain the solution

$$X(x) = C \cos n\pi x \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Substituting  $k = -\lambda^2 = -(n\pi)^2$  in (13) we obtain for  $Y$  the equation

$$Y'' - (n\pi)^2 Y = 0$$

whose solution is defined by the formula

$$Y = C_1 \cosh n\pi y + C_2 \sinh n\pi y,$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are arbitrary constants

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [A_n \cosh n\pi y + B_n \sinh n\pi y] \cos n\pi x + A_0 y + B_0.$$

This function satisfies equation (1) and boundary conditions (2), it remains to select the constants  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  such that to satisfy boundary condition (3) and (4).

According to these solutions

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [A_n \cosh n\pi b + B_n \sinh n\pi b] \cos n\pi x + A_0 b + B_0 = 0,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cos n\pi x + B_0 =$$

$$= \alpha \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [A_n \cosh n\pi c + B_n \sinh n\pi c] \cos n\pi x + A_0 c + B_0 \right\} + f$$

On the other hand subject to

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos n\pi x + \frac{a_0}{2},$$

where

$$a_n = 2 \int_0^1 f(x) \cos n\pi x dx, \quad a_0 = \int_0^1 f(x) dx.$$

Hence comparing the coefficients of series we obtain

$$A_0 = -\frac{a_0}{2[(1-\alpha)b + \alpha c]}, \quad B_0 = -\frac{b_0}{2[(1-\alpha)b + \alpha c]}$$

$$A_n = -\frac{\sinh n\pi b}{(1 - \alpha \cosh n\pi c) \sinh n\pi b + \alpha \cosh n\pi c \cdot \cosh n\pi b} \cdot a_n,$$

$$B_n = -\frac{\cosh n\pi b}{(1 - \alpha \cosh n\pi c) \sinh n\pi b + \alpha \cosh n\pi c \cdot \cosh n\pi b} \cdot a_n$$

Thus

$$u(x, y) = -\frac{a_0}{2[(1-\alpha)b + \alpha c]} (y-b) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{\sinh n\pi (b-y)}{\sinh n\pi b - \alpha \cosh n\pi (b-c)} \cdot \cos n\pi x.$$

By immediate testing it is easy to be convinced that  $u_h(x, y)$  defined by formula (10) is a solution of problem (5) – (8).

It is known that ([1])

$$a_n = b_n \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{1}{h}).$$

We'll get the solution of difference scheme (5) – (8)  $u_h(x, y)$  as approximate solution of problem (1) – (4).

We estimate error of method. From (9) and (10) we have

$$|u - u_h| \leq R_1 + R_2,$$

where

$$R_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{1/h} |b_n| |g(y, n\pi) - g(y, \frac{\beta_n}{h})|, \quad R_2 = \sum_{n=1+1/h}^{1/h} |a_n| |g(y, n\pi)|.$$

We estimate  $|a_n|$ . Using the formula of integration by parts (twice) we obtain

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{4}{(n\pi)^4} \max |f'''(t)| = kn^{-4}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$k = \frac{4}{\pi^4} \max |f'''(x)|.$$

Hence it follows that

$$|b_n| \leq kn^{-4} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, 1/h). \quad (16)$$

Consequently, in order to estimate  $R_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) it is necessary to estimate

$$|g(y, z)| \text{ and } \left| g(y, n\pi) - g\left(y, \frac{\beta_n}{h}\right) \right|.$$

It is easy to note that

$$|g(y, z)| \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}. \quad (17)$$

We estimate  $\left| g(y, n\pi) - g\left(y, \frac{\beta_n}{h}\right) \right|$ . We have

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} [shbz - ash(b-c)z]^{-2} \cdot \{(2b-y)shyz - ysh(2b-y)z - \alpha[(2(b-c) - (y-c))sh(y-c)z - (y-c)sh(2(b-c) - (y-c))z]\}.$$

Subject to

$$(2b-y)shyz \leq y \cdot sh(2b-y)z$$

and

$$(2(b-c) - (y-c))sh(y-c)z \leq (y-c)sh(2(b-c)x - (y-c))z.$$

We obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial g(y, z)}{\partial z} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} [shbz - ash(b-c)z]^{-2} \cdot [ysh(2b-y)z + \alpha|y-c|sh(2b-c-y)z]. \quad (18)$$

We'll use below the following obvious inequalities

$$shkt \leq \exp((k-1)t)sh t \quad (0 \leq k \leq 1), \quad (19)$$

$$sh t \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \exp(-2t_1)) \exp(t) \quad (t \geq t_1 > 0), \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{4}{3}n \leq \frac{\beta_n}{h} \leq n\pi \quad (1 \leq n \leq \frac{1}{h}). \quad (21)$$

Using formula (19) and assuming

$$0 \leq k = 1 - \frac{y}{2b} \leq 1, \quad t = 2b \cdot z,$$

$$0 \leq k = 1 - \frac{c+y}{2b} \leq 1, \quad t = 2bz$$

respectively we obtain

$$sh(2b-y)z = sh\left(1 - \frac{y}{2b}\right)2bz \leq \exp(-yz)sh2bz, \quad (22)$$

$$sh(2b - c - y)z = sh\left(1 - \frac{c+y}{2b}\right)2bz \leq \exp(-(c+y)z)sh2bz \tag{23}$$

Now assuming

$$t = bz, \quad t_1 = \frac{4}{3}bn$$

in (20) at  $z \geq \frac{\beta_n}{h}$  using formula (21) we obtain

$$shbz \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \exp(-\frac{8bn}{3}))\exp(bz).$$

Hence at  $n \geq 1$  and  $z \geq \frac{\beta_n}{h} \geq \frac{4}{3}n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [shbz - \alpha \cdot sh(b-c)z]^{-2} &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right)\right)\right]^{-2} \exp(bz) - \\ &- \alpha sh(b-c)z]^{-2} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right)\right) - \right. \\ &- \alpha \exp(-bz)sh(b-c)z]^{-2} \cdot \exp(-2bz) \leq \\ &\leq 4 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp(-cz)\right]^{-2} \exp(-2bz) \leq \\ &\leq 4 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right)\right]^{-2} \exp(-2bz). \tag{24} \end{aligned}$$

Allowing for (22), (23) and (24) in (18) obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\partial g(y,z)}{\partial z}\right| &\leq 2 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right)\right]^{-2} \times \\ &\times \exp(-2bz)[y \exp(-yz)sh2bz + \\ &+ \alpha|y-c|\exp(-(c+y)z)sh2bz] \leq \\ &\leq 2 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right)\right]^{-2} \times \\ &\times [y \exp(-yz) + \alpha(y-c) \exp(-(c+y)z)] \times \\ &\times \exp(-2bz)sh2bz \leq \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right)\right]^{-2} \times \\ &\times [y \exp(-yz) + \alpha(y-c) \exp(-(c+y)z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, at  $1 \leq n \leq 1/h$  and  $\beta_n/h < z < n\pi, 0 \leq y \leq b$  we

obtain

$$\left|\frac{\partial g(y,z)}{\partial z}\right| \leq \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)\right]^{-2} \times [y \exp(-yz) + \alpha(y+c) \exp(-(c+y)z)].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|g\left(y, \frac{\beta_n}{h}\right) - g(y, n\pi)\right| &\leq \\ &\leq \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)\right]^{-2} [y \cdot \exp(-yz) + \\ &+ \alpha(y+c) \exp(-(c+y)z)] \frac{(nh\pi)^3}{6h} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^3}{6} n^3 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)\right]^{-2} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[ y \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}yn\right) + \alpha(y+c) \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}(y+c)n\right) \right] h^2. \tag{25}$$

It follows from (16) and (17)

$$R_2 \leq k \sum_{n=1+\frac{1}{h}}^{\infty} n^{-4} \leq k \frac{h^3}{3}.$$

It follows from (15) and (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_1| &\leq k \frac{\pi^3}{6} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{1/h} (n^{-4}n^3) \left[ y \exp\left(-\frac{4y}{3}n\right) + \alpha(y+c) \exp\left(-\frac{4(y+c)}{3}n\right) \right] \right\} \times \\ &\times \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right) \right)^{-2} h^2 = \\ &= k \frac{\pi^3}{6} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right) \right)^{-2} h^2 = \\ &= k \frac{\pi^3}{6} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right) \right)^{-2} \times \\ &\times \left[ y \sum_{n=1}^{1/h} \left( \exp\left(-\frac{4y}{3}\right) \right)^n + \alpha(y+c) \sum_{n=1}^{1/h} \left( \exp\left(-\frac{4(y+c)}{3}\right) \right)^n \right] \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} k \pi^3 h^2 \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right) \right)^{-2} \times \\ &\times \left[ \frac{y \exp\left(-\frac{4y}{3}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{4y}{3}\right)} + \alpha \frac{(y+c) \exp\left(-\frac{4(y+c)}{3}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{4(y+c)}{3}\right)} \right] \leq \\ &\leq k \frac{\pi^3}{8} (1+\alpha) \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right) \right)^{-2} h^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|u - u_h| \leq k \left\{ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{8} \pi^3 \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8b}{3}\right) - \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{4c}{3}\right) \right)^{-2} (1+\alpha) \right\} h^2$$

### REFERENCES

- [1] Walsh J.L., Young D. On the accuracy of the numerical solution of the Dirichlet problem by finite differences. Jour. of Resea. of the Nat. Bur. of Standards, 1953, V.51, No.6, pp.343-369.
- [2] Wasow W. On the truncation error in the solution of Laplace's equation by finite differences. Jour. of Resea. of the Nat. Bur. of Standards, 1952, V.48, pp.345-348.
- [3] Giese J.H. On the truncation error in a numerical solution of the Neumann problem for a rectangle. Jour. Math. and Phys., 1958, v.37, No.2, pp. 169-177
- [4] Romanova S.E. Economical method of solution of Laplace's difference equation on rectangle domains. DAN SSSR, 1980, v.252, No. 1, pp. 48-51 (Russian)
- [5] Romanova S.E. Economical methods of approximated solution of Laplace's difference equation on rectangle domains. Zh. vych.mat. i mat. fiz., 1983, v.23, No.3, pp.660-673 (Russian)
- [6] Aliyev A.Yu. On numerical solution nonlocal boundary values problems for elliptic equations. Ph. D. thesis, Baku, 1992 (Russian)
- [7] Aliyev A.Yu. Efficient error estimate of net method for a mixed boundary value problem. Transactions Azer. Nat. Acad of Sciences., 2003, v.23, No. 4, pp.233-238
- [8] Aliyev A.Yu. Numerical solution of one nonhomogeneous nonlocal mixed problem. Proceedings of Institute of Mat. and Mech. Nat. Acad. of Sciences of Azerbaijan, 2005, v. 22, pp. 179-186.